UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,140	02/06/2006	Ikuo Tajima	IIZU020	9447	
37013 7590	03/19/2007 L McDOWELL LLP.		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 826	IZAGUIRRE, ISMAEL		E, ISMAEL		
ASHBURN, VA 2	0146-0826		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3765		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTH	16	03/19/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/567,140	TAJIMA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ismael Izaguirre	3765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
,							
,= ,							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		Analization No.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			Stage				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		in received in this reational	Glage				
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Since action for a in	or or the continue copies in						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/2/06</u> .	6) Other:						
		<u> </u>					

Application/Control Number: 10/567,140

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

CLAIMS

Summary

Claim 1 is the independent claim under consideration in this Office Action.

Claims 2-11 are the dependent claims under consideration in this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Fink (1,107,599).

Fink teaches a sequin sewing machine. The sewing machine includes a supply section, which supplies a plurality of continuous sequin strips 16 comprising a multiplicity of continuously connected sequins in an overlapping state. The sewing machine of Fink includes a first strip of sequin 16 which are held together by cords 19 in an overlapped state. This, along with another strip is supplied to a feeder 25 and 20 for synchronized feeding and placement by sewing onto the material being embellished. The strips are sewn close to each other, so close they overlap with one another. Figure 7 is taught as showing a sewn line of sequin and clearly illustrates that the ends of the cords and thus the leading plurality of sequin are cut by using an inherent cutter.

Art Unit: 3765

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fink in view of Nassberg (797,308).

Fink discloses the invention substantially as claimed. See above for specific explanations of the structural details of this document. Briefly, Fink teaches a sewing machine having a supply section which feeds two strips of continuously-connected sequin. However, Fink does not suggest the supply section as including two reels for supporting the two sources of sewing embellishment.

Nassberg teaches a sequin sewing machine including sequin S being sewn onto a material in a stacked or overlapping fashion. Nassberg teaches two reels 49 and 51 for supporting two sources of embellishment. One supports a strip of sequin and the other supports ribbon or tape. These two strips are fed to a feeding device and are routed such that they are not separated and are fed to the needle where the sequin is overlapped onto the tape and sewn onto the material. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to construct the

Application/Control Number: 10/567,140

Art Unit: 3765

supply section of Fink as including at least two supply reels containing the strips of seguin that are being fed by Fink to the material being sewn.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fink.

Fink discloses the invention substantially as claimed. See above for specific explanations of the structural details of this document. Briefly, Fink teaches a sewing machine having a supply section which feeds two strips of continuously-connected sequin. However, Fink does not suggest one of the sequin strips as containing sequin that are different from those on the other strip.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to construct the sewing machine supply section of Fink as including the feeding of two different sequin in strip form. Providing this would allow a variety of patterns to be placeable onto the material being embellished by providing different sequin overlapped over each other.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

Claims 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

PERTINENT CITATIONS

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ochsner, Cornely, Mueller, Ruschmann and Tajima et al. illustrate sequin feeding and sewing apparatus for feeding sequin continuously connected on a strip.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,140 Page 5

Art Unit: 3765

INQUIRIES

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ismael Izaguirre whose telephone number is (571) 272-4987. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Welch can be reached on (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ismael Izaguire
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3765